

Interview Summary



Application No. 08/720,070

Hyatt

Examiner

Suzanne Dino Barrett

Group Art Unit 3627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):
(1) Suzanne Dino Barrett	(3) Kazuko Fukumura
(2) Robert Bushnell (app. Rep.)	(4)
Date of Interview Mar 26, 2002	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applica	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: pending claims	
Identification of prior art discussed: Gokcebay, Aston, Thordmark, Naveda	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Bushnell discussed the invention, art of record, and outstanding rejections at length to bring the Examiner up to speed on the pending issues. Since this case was recently transferred to the Examiner, further extensive review of the entire application will be undertaken in the next couple of weeks to determine if interference proceedings are in order. If any issues remain or are not understood by the Examiner, Mr. Bushnell has agreed to cooperate in assisting the Examiner.	
	nendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	eparate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ecord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	a

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Primary Examiner